

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,395 11/12/2003	Yucel Altunbasak	062020-1310 6853	
24504 7590 04/02/2007 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948		EXAMINER	
		DIEP, NHON THANH	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2621	,
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVED	V MODE
3 MONTHS	04/02/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/706,395	ALTUNBASAK ET AL.		
-	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Nhon T. Diep	2621		
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Interv	riew summary of 3/27/2007			
,	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.	•		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		ed in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.		
See the attached detailed office detion for a list	or the continue copies have recent			
•				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/2004, 11/2003</u> . 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by article titled "Low Complexity Rate-Distortion Optimal Macroblock Mode Selection And Motion Estimation For MPEG-Like Video Coders by Kim et al (cited in the IDS by the applicants).

Kim et al discloses a low complexity rate-distortion optimal macroblock mode selection comprising the same video system comprising:

a video processing circuit that receives a picture and provides video compression by using an optimal macroblock mode of operation (fig. 1), the optimal macroblock mode of operation being identified by processing at least one macroblock of the picture, the processing being performed independent of other macroblocks contained in the picture (page 3, coders utilize several modes of operation that are selected on a macroblock-by-macroblock basis and select the mode with the lowest residual energy) as specified in claim 1; wherein the video processing circuit includes an encoder (fig. 1), the encoder comprising: a motion estimation circuit (motion estimation) that identifies an optimal motion vector by processing at least one macroblock contained in the picture, wherein the processing is carried out independent of other macroblocks contained in the

Art Unit: 2621

picture (motion estimation module produces both motion vector and prediction residual for each macroblock); and a mode selection circuit that identifies the optimal macroblock mode of operation (Mode selection) as specified in claim 2; wherein the mode selection circuit identifies the optimal macroblock mode of operation by using a rate-distortion model, where the rate-distortion model comprises an overall macroblock mode distortion D that is defined by a model equation D = Dac + Ddc, wherein Dac is a distortion due to AC coefficients and Ddc is a distortion due to DC coefficients (equation 13) as specified in claim 3; where Dac is a model equation that is defined by Dac = K_{1} (K_{1}) , wherein $f(\delta)$ and $g(k_{\rm AC})$ are two functions, δ is a measure of deviation of AC coefficients, Rac is an allocated rate for encoding AC coefficients, and k1 is a first numerical parameter that comprises at least one of a fixed number, an estimated number, and a number that is dynamically determined during a frame of the picture (equation 14) as specified in claim 4; when $f(\delta) = \delta^{k}$, wherein k2 is a second numerical parameter that comprises at least one of a fixed number, an estimated number, and a number that is dynamically determined during a frame of the picture (equation 14) as specified in claim 5; when $g(Rac) = e^{-13kac}$, where k3 is a third numerical parameter that comprises at least one of a fixed number, an estimated number, and a number that is dynamically determined during a frame of the picture (equation 14) as specified in claim 6; when Rac is defined as Rac = Rtotal - Rhdr - Rmv - Rdc, wherein Rtotal is a target total number of bits for the at least one macroblock. Rhdr is a rate of encoding a header of the at least one macroblock, Rmv is a rate of motion vectors, and Rdc is a rate of the DC coefficients (equation 15) as specified in claim 7; wherein Ddc is

Art Unit: 2621

calculated using a mean intensity value over the at least one macroblock, and a quantization is carried out using a fixed step size (Intra type macroblock and page 12, lines 15-18) as specified in claim 8; wherein Ddc is equal to zero (last line, page 12) as specified in claim 9; wherein the optimal macroblock mode of operation is selected as one that minimizes the overall macroblock mode distortion D (page 14, fig. 3, "choose minimum distortion") as specified in claim 10; wherein the signal received from the video signal source is at least one of a JPEG signal, an MPEG-x signal, and an ITU-specified H.26x 3 signal (page 2) as specified in claim 11.

3. Claims 1-3, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sethuraman (US 6,037,987).

Sethuraman discloses an apparatus and method for selecting a rate and distortion based coding <u>mode</u> for a coding system comprising the same video processing circuit that receives a picture and provides video compression by using an optimal macroblock mode of operation (fig. 1), the optimal macroblock mode of operation being identified by processing at least one macroblock of the picture, the processing being performed independent of other macroblocks contained in the picture (fig. 2, el. 205) as specified in claim 1; wherein the video processing circuit includes an encoder (fig. 1), the encoder comprising: a motion estimation circuit (fig. 1, el. 140) that identifies an optimal motion vector by processing at least one macroblock contained in the picture, wherein the processing is carried out independent of other macroblocks contained in the picture; and a mode selection circuit that identifies the optimal macroblock mode of operation (fig. 2, el. 218) as specified in claim 2; and wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/706,395

Art Unit: 2621

signal received from the video signal source is at least one of a JPEG signal, an MPEG-x signal, and an ITU-specified H.26x 3 signal (col. 1, ln. 13-25) as specified in claim 11.

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sethuraman, in view of Kim et al.

As applied to claim 2 above, it is noted that further disclose the optimal macroblock mode of operation is selected as one that minimizes the overall macroblock mode distortion D (fig. 2, el. 218) as specified in claim 10. However, Sethuraman does not particularly disclose wherein the mode selection circuit identifies the optimal macroblock mode of operation by using a rate-distortion model, where the rate-distortion model comprises an overall macroblock mode distortion D that is defined by a model equation D = Dac + Ddc, wherein Dac is a distortion due to AC coefficients and Ddc is a distortion due to DC coefficients as specified in claim 3; wherein Ddc is calculated using a mean intensity value over the at least one macroblock, and a quantization is carried out using a fixed step size as specified in claim 8; wherein Ddc is equal to zero as specified in claim 9. Kim et al. teaches that "in general, the overall coding distortion of a macroblock is composed of the distortions due to the quantization of the DC coefficient and the AC coefficients and wherein Ddc is calculated using a

Art Unit: 2621

mean intensity value over the at least one macroblock, and a quantization is carried out using a fixed step size (Intra type macroblock and page 12, lines 15-18) as specified in claim 8; wherein Ddc is equal to zero (last line, page 12) as specified in claim 9. And therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Sethuraman by calculating the total distortion of both AC and DC coefficients. Doing so would help to select a better mode for coding macroblocks.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Legall et al (US 5,929,916) discloses a variable bit rate encoding.
- b. Keller et al (US 7,023,924) discloses a method of pausing an MPEG coded video stream.
- c. Zhao et al (US 2003/0067981 A1) discloses systems and methods for performing bit rate allocation for a video data stream.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,395

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ND 3/28/2007

> NHON DIEP PRIMARY EXAMINER

DMMM

Page 7